

Contents

Section	Page
Acronyms and Abbreviations	vi
1. Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1 Re-Evaluation.....	1-1
1.1.2 Preliminary Alternatives Report	1-1
1.2 Historical Perspective	1-3
2. Description of Study Area	2-1
2.1 General.....	2-1
2.2 Climate	2-1
2.3 Land Use.....	2-1
2.4 Soils and Geology	2-3
2.5 Water Supply	2-3
2.6 Agricultural Drainage.....	2-4
2.6.1 Regional Groundwater Conditions.....	2-4
2.6.2 Development of Shallow Water Tables	2-4
2.6.3 Historical and Current Drainage Discharges	2-7
2.7 Drainwater Quality	2-9
3. Projections of Drainage Need	3-1
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Drainage Service Area	3-2
3.3 Westlands Water District.....	3-2
3.3.1 Background	3-2
3.3.2 Approach	3-2
3.4 Results	3-6
3.5 Northern SLU Districts	3-6
3.5.1 Background	3-6
3.5.2 Approach	3-6
3.6 Results	3-7
4. Plan Formulation Approach	4-1
4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.2 Plan Development to Date	4-1
4.2.1 Re-Evaluation of Drainage Service Options	4-2
4.3 Drainage Service Focus.....	4-2
4.3.1 Demonstrated Technology	4-2
4.3.2 Formulation of Preliminary Alternatives.....	4-3

5. Drainage Service Options	5-1
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.2 Options Identified for Re-Evaluation	5-1
5.2.1 Drainage Water Treatment and Concentration.....	5-5
5.2.2 Drainage Water and Solids Disposal	5-5
5.2.3 Evaluation of Options	5-6
6. Preliminary Drainage Service Alternatives.....	6-1
6.1 Introduction.....	6-1
6.2 Common Features and Assumptions	6-1
6.3 Land Retirement	6-2
6.4 Preliminary Alternatives and Sub-Alternatives.....	6-2
6.4.1 In-Valley Alternatives.....	6-2
6.4.2 Out-of-Valley Alternatives.....	6-4
6.4.3 Beneficial Use Alternatives	6-7
6.5 Descriptions and Cost Estimates of Representative Alternatives	6-7
6.5.1 In-Valley Alternative 1B	6-7
6.5.2 In-Valley Alternative 1C.....	6-9
6.5.3 In-Valley Alternative 1D	6-10
6.5.4 In-Valley Alternative 1G.....	6-11
6.5.5 Out-of-Valley Alternative 2B	6-12
6.5.6 Out-of-Valley Alternative 2E	6-13
6.5.7 Out-of-Valley Alternative 2H	6-14
6.5.8 Beneficial Use Alternative 3A.....	6-15
6.5.9 Beneficial Use Alternative 3D.....	6-16
6.6 Summary of Cost Estimates for Representative Alternatives	6-17
7. Scoping Efforts.....	7-1
7.1 Introduction.....	7-1
7.2 Scoping Meetings	7-1
7.3 Summary of Scoping Comments.....	7-2
7.3.1 Major Issues and Concerns	7-2
7.3.2 Summary of Issues and Comments	7-3
7.4 Next Steps.....	7-8
8. References	8-1

Appendices

A	Detailed Projections of Drainage Needs
B	Drainage Service Options Description

Tables

ES-1	Cost Summary.....	ES-6
1-1	Chronological Events for Valley Drainage Planning	1-3

2-1	Irrigated Land Use in the San Luis Unit	2-3
2-2	CVP Water Service Contracts and Expected Delivery for the Study Area.....	2-4
2-3	Irrigated Area by Depth to Water Table (1,000 acres).....	2-5
2-4	Tile-Drained Areas and Average Annual Drainage Discharges	2-7
2-5	Substances of Concern in Agriculture Drainwater.....	2-10
3-1	Assumptions for WWD Drainage Zones	3-4
3-2	Total Projected Drained Area, Mass Loads, Volumes, and Concentrations in the San Luis Unit	3-8
5-1	Summary of Drainage Service Options	5-2
6-1	In-Valley Alternatives.....	6-3
6-2	Out-of-Valley Alternatives.....	6-5
6-3	Beneficial Use Alternatives	6-6
6-4	Cost Summary.....	6-18
7-1	Comments Submitted	7-6

Figures

ES-1	Project Timeline	ES-2
ES-2	2002 Project Process.....	ES-9
1-1	San Luis Drainage Feature Re-evaluation Study Area.....	1-2
2-1	San Luis Unit Water District Boundaries	2-2
2-2	San Luis Unit Shallow Groundwater Affected Areas, 1987	2-6
2-3	San Luis Unit Tile Drain Areas.....	2-8
2-4	San Luis Unit Salinity in Shallow Groundwater, about 1990	2-11
2-5	San Luis Unit Selenium Concentrations in Shallow Groundwater, 1990	2-12
3-1	Zones Used for Drainage Projections	3-5
6-1	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 1B	6-8
6-2	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 1C.....	6-9
6-3	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 1D	6-10
6-4	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 1G.....	6-11
6-5	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 2B	6-12
6-6	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 2E	6-13

6-7	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 2H	6-14
6-8	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 3A	6-15
6-9	Schematic of Drainage Service Features at Build-out, Representative Alternative 3D	6-16
7-1	2002 Project Process.....	7-9

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CCD	Charleson Drainage District
CVP	Central Valley Project
DFG	California Department of Fish and Game
DMC	Delta-Mendota Canal
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
EIS	environmental impact statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ET	evapotranspiration
GBP	Grasslands Bypass Project
IFDM	Integrated On-Farm Drainage Management
Interior	U.S. Department of Interior
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
PCAT	Planning, Coordination, and Action Team
PDD	Panoche Drainage District
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
RO	reverse osmosis
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SJVDP	San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program
SLC	San Luis Canal
SLD	San Luis Drain
SLU	San Luis Unit
SLUDP	San Luis Unit Drainage Program
SLWD	San Luis Water District
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TDS	total dissolved solids
WWD	Westlands Water District